UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
AND INTERFERENCES

MAILED

SEP 2 0 2005

U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARY OFFICE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCE Ex parte ASTRID KLEEN,
ANDREA SAETTLER, HORST HOEFFKES
and
RALF OTTO

Application 10/088,247

ORDER RETURNING UNDOCKETED APPEAL TO EXAMINER

This application was received electronically at the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences on August 31, 2005. A review of the application has revealed that the application is not ready for docketing as an appeal. Accordingly, the application is herewith being electronically returned to the examiner. The matters requiring attention prior to docketing are identified below:

A review of the Image File Wrapper (IFW) indicates that appellants filed an Appeal Brief on February 15, 2005 using the format set forth in 37 CFR § 1.192(c). However, 37 CFR § 1.192 was abolished on September 13, 2004, and replaced by 37 CFR

Application 10/088,247

§ 41.37(c). Accordingly, the Appeal Brief filed on February 15, 2005 does not comply with 37 CFR § 41.37(c).

37 CFR § 41.37 states:

- (a)(1) Appellant must file a brief under this section within two months from the date of filing the notice of appeal under § 41.31.
- (2) The brief must be accompanied by the fee set forth in § 41.20(b)(2).
- (b) On failure to file the brief, accompanied by the requisite fee, within the period specified in paragraph (a) of this section, the appeal will stand dismissed.
- (c)(1) The brief shall contain the following items under appropriate headings and in the order indicated in paragraphs (c)(1)(i) through (c)(1)(x) of this section, except that a brief filed by an appellant who is not represented by a registered practitioner need only substantially comply with paragraphs (c)(1)(i) through (c)(1)(iv) and (c)(1)(vii) through (c)(1)(x) of this section:

. . . .

(v) Summary of claimed subject matter. A concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, which shall refer to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawing, if any, by reference characters. For each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately under the provisions of paragraph (c)(1)(vii) of this section, every means plus function and step plus function as permitted by 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, must be identified and the

structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function must be set forth with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawing, if any, by reference characters.

(vi) Grounds of rejection to be reviewed on appeal. A concise statement of each ground of rejection presented for review.

. . . .

- (2) A brief shall not include any new or non-admitted amendment, or any new or non-admitted affidavit or other evidence. See § 1.116 of this title for amendments, affidavits or other evidence filed after final action but before or on the same date of filing an appeal and § 41.33 for amendments, affidavits or other evidence filed after the date of filing the appeal.
- (d) If a brief is filed which does not comply with all the requirements of paragraph (c) of this section, appellant will be notified of the reasons for non-compliance and given a time period within which to file an amended brief. If appellant does not file an amended brief within the set time period, or files an amended brief which does not overcome all the reasons for non-compliance stated in the notification, the appeal will stand dismissed.
- (e) The time periods set forth in this section are extendable under the provisions of § 1.136 of this title for patent applications and § 1.550(c) of this title for exparte reexamination proceedings.

An in-depth review of the Appeal Brief indicates that the following sections are missing from the Appeal Brief filed February 15, 2005:

- 1) "Summary of claimed subject matter," as set forth in 37 CFR § 41.37(c)(1)(v); and
- 2) "Grounds of rejection to be reviewed on appeal," as set forth in 37 CFR § 41.37(c)(1)(vi).

A substitute brief that is in compliance with § 41.37(c) is required. For more information, see the United States Patent and Trademark website www.uspto.gov, and, in particular, the web page entitled "More Information on the Rules of Practice Before the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences, Final Rule" located at the following URL:

www.uspto.gov/web/offices/dcom/bpai/fr2004/moreinfo.html

In addition, the Examiner's Answer mailed May 31, 2005 does not comply with the headings set forth in the new rules under 37 CFR § 41.37(c). Correction is required.

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that the application is returned to the Examiner:

 to hold the Appeal Brief filed February 15, 2005 defective; Application 10/088,247

2) for notification to appellants to file a substitute Appeal Brief in compliance with 37 CFR § 41.37;

3) for consideration of the substitute Appeal Brief;

4) to vacate the Examiner's Answer mailed May 31, 2005, and issue a revised Examiner's Answer in compliance with the new rules which became effective on September 13, 2004;

5) to have a complete copy of any subsequent Examiner's Answer scanned into the record; and

6) for such further action as may be appropriate.

BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

By:

CRAIG R. FEINBERG

Program and Resource Administrator

(571) 272-9797

CRF/psb

Henkel Corporation The Triad, Suite 200 2200 Renaissance Blvd. Gulph Mills, PA 19406